

**POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION
POLICY AND PROCEDURE COMMITTEE**

Minutes

Regular Meeting

June 24, 2014

Starting at 6:00 p.m.

350 Fifth Street, Room 241, Minneapolis MN 55145

Committee Members Present: Andrew Buss (Chair), Jennifer Singleton, and Michael Weinbeck.

Staff Contact: Ryan Patrick, Legal Analyst- – Office of Police Conduct Review (612) 673-5500

Chair Buss called the meeting to order at 6:05 p.m.

Chair Buss moved to adopt the meeting agenda.

All in favor. None opposed.

Motion passed.

Commissioner Weinbeck moved to add a “is” and a question mark” to page 4 ¶10 to the meeting minutes.

Chair Buss opened the matter for discussion.

With no further discussion on the matter, Chair Buss called for a voice vote.

All in favor. None opposed.

Motion passed. Meeting minutes adopted with the amendment.

With no further discussion on the matter, Chair Buss moved to the next item on the agenda.

Old Business

Status Updates

Ryan Patrick addressed the Committee. The following are the main points of the discussion:

- No new information has been received regarding Cultural Awareness training.
- Deputy Chief Glampe is conducting a more comprehensive review of the training material.
- The First Precinct has made a good effort in getting old coaching documents returned to the office; some span over the last year and a half.

With the conclusion of the update from Mr. Patrick, the floor is opened for discussion. The following is a list of the participants in the discussion and an abstract of their individual comments:

Weinbeck – questioned whether or not the coaching documents being returned by the First Precinct are those that were affecting the statistics.

Buss – asked whether or not any more information has been received from the pending information requests.

Patrick – indicated that the Office is receiving the old forms; many had been completed but not submitted. He also indicated that the Office has received a timeline and is expecting more extensive materials from DC Glampe and Cmdr. Case.

With no further discussion, Chair Buss closed the discussion on Status Updates, Research and Study, and Pending Information Requests and moved to the next item on the agenda.

Cases Previously Referred to the Committee by the Commission

The following are the main points of the discussion:

Buss – asked if the queue will be available for viewing on the screen for future meetings.

Weinbeck – requested that the queue be available on the website for review while researching issues pertaining to the information in the reports.

Patrick – indicated that he can provide a PDF on the website for reference until the details with regard to the structure of the website are figured out.

Terry Stop and Search Information Provided by Deputy Chief Glampe

The Committee proceeded to discuss the information pending review provided by Deputy Chief Glampe. The following are the main points of the discussion:

Buss – indicated that he has the ruling of the NYPD search and seizure case, but has not yet been able to read through completely. Also, the official stance will always be the issue and how that translates into policy.

Weinbeck – asked if there is any indication of what the official stance is. Also indicating that there is not much information with regards to the duration of the stop and search process. However, there exists a lot of information from Hennepin County, perhaps trying to afford as much latitude to the MPD as possible. He discusses a search and seizure research and study. He also indicates that this particular research and study

will bring different results than the coaching study in that the results are more qualitative than a statistical inquiry, perhaps searching through suppression motions.

Singleton – agreed that this study will become less quantitative and more qualitative as the Committee looks closer into the issue. Additionally the group must ensure that a direct connection between case and policy is made, then having the second tier being the next report and what the law is with regard to where policies fall short, questioning where training explicitly addresses profiling and assessing where the Committee can make recommendation.

Patrick – indicated that it could be possible to do an audit of search and seizures, perhaps addressing where evidence is being thrown out, or questioning a level of consistency among the cases. Given that these cases are coded by the OPCR, it is possible to start looking at the complaints dealing specifically with inappropriate search and seizure. However, investigative stops that do not result in an arrest or complaint may not be captured in any written documentation.

With no further discussion, Chair Buss closed the discussion and moved to the next item on the agenda.

New Business

New Cases Referred to the Committee by the Commission

The Committee members proceeded to discuss Case Data #9. The following are the main points of the discussion:

Buss – this particular case deals with an issue with regard to deafness. Would like the ability to add ADA related issues as a general overall topic area and put any relevant cases in that area. These include service animals or general compliance related issues.

Tracking Prioritization of Cases and Topic Areas

The Committee members proceeded to discuss the queue and potential adjustments. The following are the main points of the discussion:

Buss – most importantly the main issue at the moment is keeping the queue updated, but this will eventually become more streamlined and we will be able to see what kind of comments we will have on certain aspects.

Singleton – indicated that access to the PDF queue will enable the committee members to come back with more specific recommendations as they review.

Public Comment

Chair Buss opened the floor for public comment. With no members of the public present, the chair closed the public comment session.

Adjournment

With all of the Committee's business being concluded, the Chair entertained a motion:

Chair Buss moved to adjourn.

Seconded. None opposed

The motion carried.

Chair Buss adjourned the meeting at 6:36 p.m.