

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Non-Public Case Synopses

September 2017

Outcome		Description
1	Sent to Coaching - Officer Coached, No Policy Violation	5-104.01 – Professional Policing It is alleged that the officer was unprofessional in his interactions with the arrestee, such as telling him that he was "fine" though he complained of a back injury.
2	Dismissed, No Basis	5-105 (E)(2) – Professional Code of Conduct Complainant alleges that she called 911 for assistance regarding a violation of a harassment/restraining order. Complainant states that she was questioned about the custody arrangement, and that despite telling the officer she was awarded full custody, she was asked to provide documentation, which she could not locate. Complainant alleges that officers accused her of "denying the father parenting time" and that the father was allowed to leave with her daughter.
3	Dismissed, Failure to Cooperate	No Allegation Listed – No MPD Officer Identified Complainant alleges that he was talking to a "group of white people" and standing for a group picture when a female officer and several other officers "called [him] out for disturbing the peace and roughed [him] up."
4	Dismissed, No Basis	5-105 (A)(4) – Professional Code of Conduct It is alleged that the officer filed a "false police report" that stated Complainant had struck the other vehicle. Complainant contends that there is video of the incident that proves her innocence.
5	Sent to Coaching, Officer Coached, Policy Violation Found	3-800 Off-Duty Employment It is alleged that the officer improperly made a request for overtime as he was already assigned to off-duty work. Further, when the officer discovered that his overtime request had been denied, it is alleged that the officer sarcastically responded to his supervisor, "Did you really cite my report and log off [sic] time? Keep the hour. A donation."
6	Dismissed, No Basis	5-105 (A)(5) – Professional Code Of Conduct Complainant contends that she was burglarized and notified officers of such. However, Complainant asserts that the officers who arrived did not show empathy; for instance, Complainant contends that the officers failed to inspect her home for evidence--such as checking for fingerprints--and only took a report. Complainant claims that a TV, game consoles, clothes, credit cards and social security cards were taken.
7	Sent to Investigation, Panel Recommended Merit, Officer Received Letter of Reprimand	5-105(E)(2) Professional Code of Conduct Complainant contends that an officer, after speaking to two other individuals, asked Complainant if he had assaulted a woman earlier. Complainant states that he told the officer "no" in response. In turn, Complainant alleges that he told the officer that he had been assaulted by the individuals with who the officer was speaking to previously. Further, Complainant asserts that when he attempted to press charges against his assailants, the officer told him that there was "no law against fighting & he was going to do more paperwork because N*gg*rs [sic] were going to kill one another." Next, Complainant contends that he was surrounded by the individuals, and requested if he could speak to another officer. In reply, Complainant asserts that the officer stated that he didn't want another squad to "waste their time with you n*gg*rs," nor with "a f*gg*t"--speaking in reference to Complainant. Complainant claims that the individuals began to surround him, and Complainant expressed his desire not to fight them. Nonetheless, Complainant contends that the officer only cautioned Complainant's assailants to fight Complainant one-on-one. Complainant contends that, after "turning his back towards the officer," he was struck in the back of the head, fell to the ground, and kicked and punched numerous times while on the floor. Afterward, Complainant contends that the officer told him to leave the premises.

POLICE CONDUCT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION

Non-Public Case Synopses

September 2017

8	Sent to Investigation, Referred to Coaching, No Coaching or Policy Violation	5-105 (A)(4) – Professional Code Of Conduct Complainant contends that was "verbally aggressive" in a public area at a local hotel. Further, Complainant alleges that the officer "exhibited bias against [the] hotel staff," assuming that a theft was perpetuated by the housekeeping staff before "taking the time to receive all pertinent information and give consideration to the facts in the case." More specifically, Complainant asserts that the officer told a guest that "[h]otels regularly steal from their guests, especially housekeepers and engineers," and that the hotel in question has "had a number of [theft] calls" in the past. Lastly, Complainant claims that he "had to calm the officer and encourage reasonable discussion," doing things such as pointing out to the officer that a video showed a "third-party" was involved.
9	Sent to Coaching, Officer Coached, Policy Violation Found	9-200(III)(A)(7)(b): Stopping or Searching People Complainant asserts that he was pulled over as the registered owner of the vehicle had a warrant for his arrest. Further, he claims that the passenger was arrested as he had a warrant for driving after suspension. After his friend was arrested, Complainant contends that he was pulled out of the car as he only had a learner's permit. After this, Complainant contends that both the car and he were searched. Lastly, Complainant claims that he was cited for not having a valid driver's license.
10	Sent to Coaching, Officer Coached, No Policy Violation	4-402 – Vehicle Idling Complainant claims that officers left a squad running while on lunch break.